UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/572,655	03/06/2007	Dominik Eisert	5367-223PUS	4408
Thomas Langer	7590 10/27/201	EXAMINER		
Cohen Pontani	Lieberman & Pavane	LAM, CATHY N		
Suite 1210 551 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10176			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2811	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/27/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination		
10/572,655	EISERT ET AL.		
	Art Unit		
CATHY N. LAM	2811		
	<u> </u>		

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Rev	iew filed 24 Sept	tember 2010.				
 Improper Request – The Freason(s): 	Request is improper ar	nd a conference	will not be held for the following				
☐ The Notice of Appeal has☐ The request does not incl☐ A proposed amendment i☐ Other:	lude reasons why a re	view is appropria	ite.				
The time period for filing a response continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.							
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been held. The application remains under appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date of the notice of appeal, as applicable.							
☐ The panel has determine Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-2,4-8,10</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from core	<u>018,44-73</u> .	aim(s) is as follow	vs:				
3. ☐ Allowable application – A Allowance will be mailed. Prosect applicant at this time.							
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A capacition will be mailed. No further a							
All participants:							
(1) <u>CATHY N. LAM</u> .		(3) <u>DAVID MAR</u>	<u>TIN</u> .				
(2) <u>LYNNE GURLEY</u> .		(4)					
/Lynne A. Gurley/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2811	/C. N. L./ Examiner, Art Unit 28	311	/David S Martin/ Review Examiner, TC Unit 2800				